#### REMARKS

#### Status

This Amendment is responsive to the Office Action dated August 24, 2004, in which Claims 1-6, 10, 12, 14, 23-35, 37-44, 46, 47, and 70 were rejected and Claims 13, 22, 36, and 45 were allowed.

Claims 1, 3-6, 10, 24-34, 38-43, 47, and 70 have been canceled; Claims 2, 12, 14, 23, 35, 37, 44, and 46 have been amended; and no new claims have been added. Accordingly, Claims 2, 12-14, 22-23, 35-37, and 44-46 are pending in the application, and are presented for reconsideration and allowance.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13, 22, 36, and 45 are allowed. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the allowance of these claims.

## Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3-6, 10, 12, 14, 23-35, 37-42, 44, 46, and 47 stand rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by the software program published by EPSON entitled "Film Factory" version 1.0.

While Applicant believes that these claims are not anticipated by the EPSON software program, to promote prosecution, Applicant has canceled Claims 1, 3-6, 10, 24-34, 38-42, and 47, and amended Claims 12, 14, 23, 35, 37, 44, and 46 to be dependent on an allowed claim.

Accordingly, Claims 12, 14, 23, 35, 37, 44, and 46 are believed to be in condition for allowance.

# Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103

Claims 2 and 43 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of the software program published by EPSON entitled "Film Factory" version 1.0 and the relevant material associated with the program.

While Applicant believes that Claims 2 and 43 are not obvious over the cited references, to promote prosecution, Applicant has canceled Claim 43 and amended Claim 2 to be dependent on an allowed claim.

Accordingly, Claim 2 is believed to be in condition for allowance.

## Claim Rejection - 35 USC § 103

Claim 70 stands rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of the software program published by EPSON entitled "Film Factory" version 1.0 and the relevant material associated with the program and US Patent No. 5,724,456 (*Boyack*).

While Applicant believes that Claim 70 is not obvious over the cited references, to promote prosecution, Applicant has canceled Claim 70.

Accordingly, this rejection is believed to be moot.

### **Summary**

Should the Examiner consider that additional amendments are necessary to place the application in condition for allowance, the favor is requested of a telephone call to the undersigned counsel for the purpose of discussing such amendments.

For the reasons set forth above, it is believed that the application is in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration and favorable action are respectfully solicited.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees in connection with this communication to Eastman Kodak Company Deposit Account No. 05-0225.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 39,324

Susan L. Parulski Rochester, NY 14650

Telephone: (585) 477-4027 Facsimile: (585) 477-4646